

Exploring the Role of Community Meal Services for Older Australians

Shamsul Sarkar ¹, Shafiqur Rahman²

Abstract

Community meal services in Australia serve as vital support systems for older adults who live independently because they meet their nutritional requirements and social needs. The research employs semi-structured interviews, along with qualitative content analysis, to investigate the current state and future developments of these services. The study demonstrates that different service models, including home-delivered meals, congregate dining, and structured programs, work together to support both health outcomes and social inclusion for older adults. The services demonstrate clear advantages; however, ongoing difficulties persist mainly due to funding issues, engagement problems, and concerns about delivery sustainability. The research suggests that strategic reforms, combined with community-led innovations, must be implemented to ensure the ongoing availability of meal services for older Australians.

Keywords: Community meal services, older adults, Social inclusion, Nutritional support, Sustainability.

1. INTRODUCTION

The population of Australia is undergoing significant demographic changes, as the proportion of people aged 65 and above continues to rise. According to the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (2023), this ageing trend has led to increased demand for community-based

¹ Academic Staff, Community Service, Imperial Institute of Sydney, Australia.

² Professor and Head, Business Administration, International Open University, Gambia. Email: shafiq.australia@gmail.com

services that support older adults' health and independence. The majority of older Australians prefer to remain in their own homes rather than relocate to residential care facilities (Webb & Somes, 2022). Community meal services have emerged as essential supports that address both the nutritional and psychosocial needs of seniors. By offering home-delivered and communal dining options, these services help older adults maintain independence while receiving regular, nutritious meals. Additionally, they provide vital opportunities for social engagement, which reduces loneliness and promotes emotional well-being (Victor & Pikhartova, 2020; Henning-Smith et al., 2024).

This research examines the role and impact of community meal services for older adults in Australia, exploring their challenges and potential areas for improvement. It employs semi-structured interviews with service users, providers, and volunteers, along with qualitative content analysis, to understand how these services operate as integrated health and social support systems. The findings aim to inform future policy and practice by highlighting barriers such as funding limitations, social isolation, and unequal access, while also identifying effective community-driven models. Ultimately, this research contributes to the development of sustainable meal service frameworks that support ageing in place and enhance the well-being of older Australians.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The global increase in aging populations has made the health and well-being of older adults an essential policy matter. The Australian senior population chooses to reside at home by 80%, which requires support services that enable independent living (Webb & Somes, 2022). Community meal services have evolved into an essential solution that provides nutritional assistance while offering opportunities for social interaction. The literature demonstrates that these services prevent malnutrition and decrease social isolation, which are two primary health risks for older adults (Henning-Smith et al., 2024). Home-delivered meal services, such as those offered through Meals on Wheels and similar programs, provide vital assistance to individuals with restricted mobility. The research demonstrates that these services enhance both food consumption and emotional health by providing volunteers for regular contact (Bonagurio et al., 2022). The social connections between seniors increase, and their feelings of loneliness decrease when they participate in congregate meal programs, which take place in community dining areas (Doustmohammadian et al., 2022).

The literature shows that multiple substantial difficulties exist. State funding restrictions create both limitations to service accessibility and a reduction in service quality. The

combination of transportation problems and social avoidance behaviours prevents seniors from joining communal meal programs. The current discussion promotes a transition from emergency food relief systems toward sustainable community-based food programs that build equity and resilience (Tram, 2024). Community Meal Services (CMS), such as Meals on Wheels, have become an essential component of aged care in Australia, addressing the multifaceted needs of older adults. As Australia's population ages, the demand for sustainable, accessible, and holistic support services continues to grow. CMS not only provide nutritional support but also enhances social wellbeing and independence among older Australians (Lu & Chen, 2025). Malnutrition is a significant concern in the elderly population, often caused by decreased appetite, chronic illnesses, and functional impairments (Dent et al., 2023). CMS deliver nutritionally balanced meals that meet dietary requirements, helping to prevent nutritional deficiencies and associated health complications. According to Walton et al. (2020), older adults who regularly access meal services report improved nutritional intake, reduced hospitalisations, and better overall health outcomes. Beyond physical health, CMS play a pivotal role in reducing social isolation and loneliness, which are prevalent among older Australians, particularly those living alone (Victor & Pikhartova, 2020). Meal deliveries offer regular social contact with volunteers, while community dining settings encourage peer interaction and emotional support. Studies have linked these interactions with improved mental well-being and reduced depressive symptoms (Thomas et al., 2021).

Moreover, CMS contribute to older adults' ability to live independently, aligning with Australia's "ageing-in-place" policy agenda (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare [AIHW], 2023). This not only benefits individuals but also alleviates pressure on formal aged care systems and family caregivers. Furthermore, there is a growing need for culturally appropriate meals to meet the dietary preferences of Australia's increasingly diverse ageing population. Community Meal Services (CMS), including programs like Meals on Wheels, play a critical role in supporting older Australians who prefer to live independently at home. These services address two significant health risks—malnutrition and social isolation—by providing nutritious meals and regular social contact. Research shows that CMS improve dietary intake, emotional well-being, and reduces hospital admissions. Congregate meal settings also foster social inclusion. However, challenges such as limited funding, rural accessibility, and cultural food preferences hinder their effectiveness. As Australia's ageing population grows, sustainable, community-based food programs are essential to ensure health equity, resilience, and quality of life for older adults.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a qualitative research methodology to explore the role of community meal services in enhancing the health, nutrition, and social inclusion of older Australians. Given the complexity and context-specific nature of meal service programs, semi-structured interviews

were chosen to collect rich, in-depth data from a variety of stakeholders directly involved in or affected by such services.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

Semi-structured interviews served as the primary data collection tool, allowing participants to share personal experiences while enabling the researchers to probe key themes. The interviews focused on:

- Nutritional outcomes from home-delivered and congregate meals
- Social inclusion and well-being
- Service delivery models and sustainability challenges
- Opportunities for reform and innovation

Interviews were conducted face-to-face or via phone/Zoom, depending on participant availability and location. All interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and anonymised to ensure confidentiality.

3.3 Sampling and Participants

A purposive sampling approach was used to select a diverse group of participants from five Australian states. Three key stakeholder groups were targeted:

- Older adults using the services
- Volunteers supporting service delivery
- Service providers involved in operations and management

Participants were selected to represent various geographic areas (urban, regional, and rural), service models (home-delivered and congregate meals), and organisational structures.

Table 1: Sample Distribution by Stakeholder Group and State

| State | Service Users | Volunteers | Service Providers | Total |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| New South Wales (NSW) | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| Victoria (VIC) | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Queensland (QLD) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Western Australia (WA) | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| South Australia (SA) | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| Total | 13 | 9 | 16 | 38 |

Note: The sample ensured cross-state representation and diversity in service delivery models and organisational types.

4. RESULTS, FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 *Types of Community Meal Services*

Community meal services in Australia are multifaceted programs tailored to support the diverse needs of older adults, particularly those who choose to age in place. With a growing proportion of the population living longer and preferring to remain in their own homes, these services have become increasingly vital. They offer essential nutritional support and opportunities for social interaction, both of which are critical for maintaining physical health, emotional well-being, and independence in later life (Productivity Commission, 2015). Home-delivered meal programs, such as Meals on Wheels, are among the most well-established models. These services provide ready-to-eat, nutritious meals directly to the homes of older adults who face physical, medical, or mobility-related barriers. The delivery process is not only functional but also socially meaningful—volunteers often establish rapport with recipients, offering regular social engagement and performing informal welfare checks that can help identify emerging health concerns (Thomas & Mor, 2013). Congregate meal services—often organised in community centres, churches, or senior clubs—encourage social participation by bringing older individuals together in a shared dining environment. These “lunch clubs” have been shown to improve mood, strengthen social networks, and reduce feelings of isolation (Keller et al., 2004; Wright et al., 2006). Structured shared meal programs integrate meals with group-based activities such as wellness workshops, games, and discussions. These initiatives foster a sense of purpose and routine, offering cognitive and emotional stimulation. Participation in such programs has been associated with better mental health and increased life satisfaction among older adults (Locher et al., 2005). Food access services provide meals or groceries tailored to the nutritional needs of seniors, either through home delivery or designated pick-up points. These models support older adults who wish to maintain autonomy over their dietary practices but require logistical assistance, particularly in accessing or preparing meals (Wolff et al., 2017).

Together, these diverse service models highlight the broader significance of community meal programs. Beyond nourishment, they play a fundamental role in enhancing social inclusion, promoting health, and enabling older Australians to lead connected and dignified lives within their communities.

4.2 *Key Themes from Content Analysis*

- a) *Reframing Social Meaning and Personal Reflection:* Participation in community meal services led to a renewed sense of purpose and belonging among older adults. For many, engaging in shared meals helped reframe

their identity—from being passive recipients of care to active community members. This shift in perspective empowered individuals who had previously withdrawn from social life due to ageing, illness, or loss, restoring their dignity and social value (Thomas & Mor, 2013).

- b) *Social Connectivity and Emotional Well-being:* Regular interactions with volunteers and peers through meal delivery and group dining enhanced emotional well-being and reduced loneliness. Participants often looked forward to these engagements, which became a vital source of companionship and informal mental health support. The social bonds formed contributed to improved self-esteem and stronger social networks (Keller et al., 2004).
- c) *Barriers to Access and Inclusion:* Despite the benefits, some participants faced psychological barriers such as shame, pride, or fear of stigma that discouraged them from accessing services. Additionally, a lack of culturally appropriate food options made some seniors feel excluded or alienated. These findings underscore the need for culturally sensitive service design and proactive outreach initiatives to improve inclusivity (Lee & Frongillo, 2001).
- d) *Need for Innovation and Adaptability:* Participants recognised that while current services meet many needs, future sustainability requires innovation. They recommended digital ordering systems, enhanced local food business partnerships, and community-based enterprise models. These strategies would not only improve service efficiency but also promote economic participation and long-term viability.

The current services fulfil present-day nutritional and social requirements, yet participants stressed the need for services to adapt to changing times. The participants recommended implementing digital ordering systems and community food businesses, which would enhance both economic participation and service longevity.

5. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates the importance of community meal services in supporting the health, independence, and emotional well-being of older Australians. Programs such as home-delivered meals and group dining help older adults live independently with dignity and social connection by improving nutrition and reducing isolation. However, several challenges remain unresolved. These include limited and often unstable funding, insufficient cultural diversity in meal options, and concerns about the long-term sustainability of existing service models. Additionally, a key limitation of this study is its reliance on qualitative data from a relatively small number of participants, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. The research was also geographically limited, potentially excluding variations in service delivery across remote or culturally diverse regions. The study suggests implementing

inclusive policies, adopting technology-driven solutions, and promoting greater community participation. Moving forward, community meal services must be adaptable, inclusive, and sustainable to effectively meet the evolving needs of Australia's ageing population and ensure equitable, community-based care for all.

References

- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. (2023). *Older Australians: Snapshot 2023*. <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/older-people/older-australians>
- Bonagurio, C., Brunson, E., Marceaux, S., & Sasser, L. (2022). Meals on Wheels Central Texas: addressing loneliness and isolation through client-volunteer interaction. *Working with Older People*, 26(1), 8-21.
- Dent, E., Wright, O. R., Woo, J., & Hoogendijk, E. O. (2023). Malnutrition in older adults. *The Lancet*, 401(10380), 951-966.
- Doustmohammadian, A., Mohammadi-Nasrabadi, F., Keshavarz-Mohammadi, N., Hajjar, M., Alibeyk, S., & Hajigholam-Saryazdi, M. (2022). Community-based participatory interventions to improve food security: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9, 1028394.
- Henning-Smith, C., Tuttle, M., Tanem, J., Jantzi, K., Kelly, E., & Florence, L. C. (2024). Social isolation and safety issues among rural older adults living alone: perspectives of Meals on Wheels programs. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 36(2), 282-301.
- Keller, H. H., Ostbye, T., & Bright-See, E. (2004). Nutritional risk predicts quality of life in elderly community-living Canadians. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 59(1), 68-74. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/59.1.M68>
- Lee, J. S., & Frongillo, E. A. (2001). Factors associated with food insecurity among U.S. elderly persons: importance of functional impairments. *Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 56(2), S94-S99.
- Locher, J. L., Ritchie, C. S., Roth, D. L., Baker, P. S., Bodner, E. V., & Allman, R. M. (2005). Social isolation, support, and capital and nutritional risk in an older sample: ethnic and gender differences. *Social Science & Medicine*, 60(4), 747-761. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.06.023>
- Lu, T. T., & Chen, W. C. (2025). Case study of improving operational effectiveness of the congregate meal program for the elderly in Chiayi County. *International Journal of Application on Economics and Business*, 3(1), 175-184.
- Productivity Commission. (2015). *Housing decisions of older Australians* (Research Paper). Australian Government. <https://www.pc.gov.au/research/completed/housing-decisions-older-australians>
- Thomas, J., Bowes, N., Meyers, R., & Thirlaway, K. (2021). Mental well-being and physical activity of young people experiencing homelessness before and during COVID-19 lockdown: A longitudinal study. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, 21, 100407.
- Thomas, K. S., & Mor, V. (2013). Providing more home-delivered meals is one way to keep older adults with low care needs out of nursing homes. *Health Affairs*, 32(10), 1796-1802. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2013.0075>

- Tram, J. (2024). *Food Service: Cultivating Food Equity & Resilience in the Heart of Ivy City* (Master's thesis, University of Maryland, College Park).
- Victor, C. R., & Pikhartova, J. (2020). Lonely places or lonely people? Investigating the relationship between loneliness and place of residence. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1), 778.
- Walton, K., do Rosario, V. A., Pettingill, H., Cassimatis, E., & Charlton, K. (2020). The impact of home-delivered meal services on the nutritional intake of community living older adults: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 33(1), 38-47.
- Webb, E. O. B., & Somes, T. (2022). Property, housing, and aged care. In *the Routledge Handbook of Property, Law and Society* (pp. 165-178). Routledge.
- Wolff, J. L., Mulcahy, J. F., Huang, J., Roth, D. L., Covinsky, K. E., & Kasper, J. D. (2017). Family caregivers of older adults, 1999–2015: Trends in characteristics, circumstances, and role-related appraisal. *The Gerontologist*, 58(6), 1021–1032. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnx093>
- Wright, L., Hickson, M., & Frost, G. (2006). Eating together is important: Using a dining room in an acute elderly medical ward improved patient energy intake. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 19(1), 23–26.